Ferryl Protonation in Oxoiron(IV) Porphyrins and Its Role in Oxygen Transfer
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ABSTRACT: Ferryl porphyrins, P−FeIV=O, are central reactive intermediates in the catalytic cycles of numerous heme proteins and a variety of model systems. There has been considerable interest in elucidating factors, such as terminal oxo basicity, that may control ferryl reactivity. Here, the sulfonylated, water-soluble ferryl porphyrin complexes tetramesitylporphyrin, oxoFeIV(TMPS) (FeTMPS-II), its 2,6-dichlorophenyl analogue, oxoFeIV(TDCIPS) (FeTDCIPS-II), and two other analogues are shown to be protonated under turnover conditions to produce the corresponding bis-aqua-iron(III) porphyrin cation radicals. The results reveal a novel internal electromeric equilibrium, P−FeIV=O ≈ P−FeIII(OH2). Reversible pKa values in the range of 4–6.3 have been measured for this process by pH-jump, UV−vis spectroscopy. Ferryl protonation has important ramifications for C−H bond cleavage reactions mediated by oxoiron(IV) porphyrin cation radicals in protic media. Both solvent O−H and substrate C−H deuterium kinetic isotope effects are observed for these reactions, indicating that hydrocarbon oxidation by these oxoiron(IV) porphyrin cation radicals occurs via a solvent proton-coupled hydrogen atom transfer from the substrate that has not been previously described. The effective FeO−H bond dissociation energies for FeTMPS-II and FeTDCIPS-II were estimated from similar kinetic reactivities of the corresponding oxoFeIVTMPS+ and oxoFeIVTDCIPS+ species to be ~92–94 kcal/mol. Similar values were calculated from the two-proton P−FeIII(OH2)2 pKaobs and the porphyrin oxidation potentials, despite a 230 mV range for the iron porphyrins examined. Thus, the iron porphyrin with the lower ring oxidation potential has a compensating higher basicity of the ferryl oxygen. The solvent-derived proton adds significantly to the driving force for C−H bond scission.

INTRODUCTION

Ferryl porphyrin species, P−FeIV=O and P−FeIV=O, play pivotal roles in biological oxidations mediated by heme proteins.1 These two reactive intermediates, historically referred to as compound I and compound II, respectively, differ in the oxidation state of the porphyrin ring (P). Among the heme enzymes, only those bearing an axial thiolate ligand, as in the cytochromes P450 (CYP)3 and aromatic peroxygenases (APO),4 have the ability to perform the most challenging oxidation, the oxy-functionalization of unactivated sp3 C−H bonds. The consensus hydroxylation mechanism of CYP enzymes involves a hydrogen atom abstraction from a substrate C−H bond by P−FeIV=O (P450-I) to afford a thiolate-iron(IV) hydroxide5 (P450-II) and a substrate radical. The carbon-centered radical formed in this process then rebinds to the FeIV=OH hydroxyl, forming the product alcohol and reducing the heme center back to the ferric resting state. The strength of the FeO−H bond formed upon hydrogen atom abstraction is of considerable importance in determining the ability of a metal-oxo compound to abstract a hydrogen atom from a substrate,6 as is the electronic configuration.7 This bond strength, D(OH), can be dissected into a one-electron reduction potential of P−FeIV=O (E0cpdi) and the pKa of P−FeIV=O−H (eq 1). For example, we have estimated the reduction potential of APO-I to be ~1.4 V and the FeO−H bond dissociation energy (BDE) of APO-II to be ~100 kcal/mol.4b,c Likewise, a highly reactive synthetic iron porphyrin, oxoFeIV(TM-4-PyP)+, also has a 100 kcal/mol FeO−H bond.9 A more basic FeO−H pKa would lead to a stronger FeIV=O−H bond. Green et al. have suggested that the axial thiolate ligand of P450 hydroxylases is an innovation used to engineer more basic ferryl states while sacrificing some redox potential.5a The net result is a stronger FeIV=O−H bond and the ability to oxidize unactivated aliphatic C−H bonds while protecting the protein from oxidative damage.5,10 Support for this hypothesis derives from the recent determination that P450-II has a pKa ∼ 12, existing as an iron(IV) hydroxide under turnover conditions.

While P450-II is protonated, there is still spirited debate concerning the ferryl states of heme enzymes lacking thiolate...
FeIII(OH2)2. We also present evidence that the observed protonation states of FeTMPS are compared in Figure 2. In this work we provide a direct measure of the basicity of sulfonated ferryl porphyrins (Figure 1) and the effects of ferryl axial ligands. For example, while X-ray data have suggested an elongation of the FeIV=O bond in cytochrome c peroxidase compound II (CcP-II) and ascorbate oxidase compound II, a recent neutron diffraction study of CcP-I has shown that the ferryl is not protonated. Likewise, ferryl myoglobin resists protonation even below pH 3. There are no reports of basic ferryls in model porphyrin systems, although basic oxomanganese and non-heme iron complexes have been discussed and dimethoxyiron(IV) porphyrin complexes are known.

In this work we provide a direct measure of the basicity of their reactivity toward C–H bonds. Protonation of P=FeIV=O species using rapid-mixing, pH-jump, stopped-flow spectrophotometry reveals an electromeric equilibrium with measurable pKₐ values for oxoFeIV porphyrins and the corresponding iron(III) porphyrin cation radicals, P′−FeIII(OH₂)₂. We also present evidence that the observed pKₐ influences C–H bond scission by compound I models through synchronous solvent proton and substrate hydrogen atom transfer (HAT).

![Sulfonated ferryl porphyrins](image)

**Figure 1.** Sulfonated ferryl porphyrins used in this study arranged, left to right in order of increasing electron-donation ability of the meso substitutent, Ar.

### RESULTS

Iron tetramesitylporphyrin octasulfonate (FeTMPS) is a well-behaved, water-soluble iron porphyrin system. Previous work has shown that oxidation of FeIII(TMPS) with mCPBA or oxone above pH 7 affords oxoFeIV(TMPS), λmax = 425–431 nm (pH dependent as shown in Figure S1); 1H NMR δ 3.1 (paramethyl), –1.2 (β-pyrrole). Similarly, when FeIIITMPS was treated with mCPBA at pH 5.0, a new intermediate was formed with a weak, blue-shifted λmax centered at 400 nm, a broad Q-band absorbance at ~650 nm, and strongly shifted 1H NMR resonances at δ 23, 25 (ortho-methyls) and δ –26 (β-pyrrole) (Figures S2 and S3). We have assigned this species as oxoiron(IV) TMPS porphyrin cation radical (oxoFeIV(TMPS)⁺) due to the closely analogous literature spectra of the tetramesityl derivative, oxoFeIV(TMPS)⁺ and similar species. Electrochemical measurements with (H₂O)FeIII(TMPS) showed a reversible, pH-independent oxidation wave at 940 mV vs Ag/AgCl between pH 2 and pH 4, while spectrophotocatalytic oxidation at 1100 mV afforded the corresponding iron(III) porphyrin cation radical, FeIIITMPS⁺, with λmax = 390 nm and a broad Q-band feature (Figures S4 and S5). The UV−vis spectra of the various oxidation/protonation states of FeTMPS are compared in Figure 2. Oxidation of FeIII(TDCIPS) with 1 equiv of mCPBA at pH 3.0 also produced a compound I analogue, oxoFeIV(TDCIPS)⁺, with a similar, characteristic UV−vis spectrum (Figure S6).
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**Figure 2.** UV−vis spectra of various oxidation states of FeTMPS: FeIIITMPS, λmax = 397 nm (blue); oxoFeIVTMPS, λmax = 425 nm at pH 8 (blue); FeIIITMPS⁺, λmax = 390 nm (red); and oxoFeIVTMPS⁺, λmax = 400 nm (black).
oxoFeIVTMPs under highly alkaline conditions indicates the presence of a second pK_a = 12.5 ± 0.06 with the formation of HO−FeIV≡O (Figure S1), as has been observed for other ferryl porphyrins.21 The same equilibrium mixtures of oxoFeIVTMPs and FeIII-TMPs' electromers could be generated via one-electron reduction of oxoFeIV-TMPs+. As shown in Scheme 1, oxoFeIV-TMPs' was generated via stoichiometric oxidation of FeIII-TMPs with mCPBA in the first push of a double-mixing, stopped-flow experiment. After an aging delay of 1 s, 1 equiv of TEMPO in buffer at the target pH was added as a reducing agent in the second push.22 The reduction of oxoFeIV-TMPs' by TEMPO was complete within the dead time of the measurement (~1 ms). By contrast, further reduction of oxoFeIV-TMPs to FeIII-TMPs under these conditions occurred much more slowly (k ≈ 10^4 M^-1 s^-1, Figure S12). Redox potentials of oxoFeIV-TMPs' below pH 6.5 afforded mixtures of oxoFeIV-TMPs and (H2O)2FeIII-TMPs+ with greater amounts of the latter at lower pH, in accord with a prototropic equilibrium with a pK_a = 5.5 (Figure 4A). A summary of spectral data, porphyrin electrochemistry, and measured pK_a values for all porphyrins is presented in Table 1.

Both oxoFeIV-TMPs' and oxoFeIV-TDCIPS' were reduced to the ferric form by dihydroanthracene (DHA). Bimolecular rate

Figure 3. (A) UV−vis spectra observed within 50 ms after rapid dilution of oxoFeIV-TMPs (10 µM, pH 11.5) with 50 mM phosphate/acetate buffer at the target pH: oxoFeIV-TMPs at pH 8.2 (red trace), FeIII-TMPs' at pH 4.7 (black trace), and both species at pH 5.4 (blue trace). (B) Plots of oxoFeIV-porphyrin absorbance vs pH for oxoFeIV-TDCIPS (green trace, pK_a = 4.0 ± 0.05), oxoFeIV-TMPs (black trace, pK_a = 5.5 ± 0.07), oxoFeIV-TSMP (blue trace, pK_a = 5.9 ± 0.04), and oxoFeIV-TDPS (red trace, pK_a = 6.3 ± 0.06).

Figure 4. Reduction of oxoFeIV-TMPs' with TEMPO at various pH values (10 µM porphyrin in 50 mM phosphate/acetate buffer). Inset: Conversion of (H2O)2FeIIITMPs+ (10 µM) in pH 2.5 buffer (100 mM acetate) at 37 °C to oxoFeIV-TMPs at pH 13 upon bulk addition of NaOH. All concentrations and pH measurements are final after mixing.

Scheme 1. Design of a Double-Mixing, Stopped-Flow Experiment in Which OxoFeIV-TMPs' Was Generated Transiently at pH 5.0 (Unbuffered), pH-Jumped, and Reduced with TEMPO To Yield Mixtures of OxoFeIV-TMPs and (H2O)2FeIII-TMPs+
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170 M−1 s−1 (Figures S13 and S14). OxoFeIVTDCIPS+ was
shown to oxidize xanthene with a bimolecular rate constant of
(2.47 ± 0.15) × 104 M−1 s−1 (R2 = 0.99), while for
oxoFeIVTDCIPS+ background decay obscured this reaction. Use
of xanthene-d2 resulted in a slower rate constant of (1.37 ±
0.18) × 104 M−1 s−1 (R2 = 0.95) and, accordingly, a substrate
kinetic isotope effect (KIE) of 1.80. This reaction was also
shown to have a solvent KIE by oxidizing proteo xanthene in
buffer made with D2O (pD 3.0). The bimolecular oxidation rate
constant in this case was (1.50 ± 0.11) × 104 M−1 s−1 (R2 =
0.99), yielding a solvent KIE of 1.65. Under identical
conditions, xanthene-d2 in D2O afforded a bimolecular rate of
(1.12 ± 0.09) × 104 M−1 s−1 (R2 = 0.98), indicating a combined
substrate–solvent isotope effect of 2.2.

**DISCUSSION**

A unique feature of heme iron enzymes and iron porphyrin
model systems is the non-innocence of the porphyrin ring.
Iron(III)/iron(IV) and porphyrin ring redox potentials are
strongly intermingled, with both processes occurring at ∼1 V.
The rapid pH-jump experiments we have described here show
that the terminal oxo ligands of oxoiron(IV) porphyrin
complexes can be protonated in mildly acidic media, exhibiting
electromeric equilibria between the ferryl species, P−FeIV=O,
and the corresponding bis-aqua-iron(III) porphyrin cation
radicals, P−FeIII(OH2)2 (Figure 1). Apparent pKα values from
4.0 to 6.3 were observed that vary with the redox potential of
the porphyrin. Re-formation of P−FeIV=O upon deprotona-
tion of P−FeIII(OH2)2 with base has shown that this
equilibrium is reversible. Further, one-electron reduction of
the corresponding compound I analogues, P−FeIV=O, with
TEMPO over the same range of pH produced the same
mixtures of electromers and the same pKα values. In the
following discussion we analyze these interconversions and
their implications for C−H oxidations mediated by these P−
FeIV=O species.

**Analysis of the P−FeIV=O ⇋ P−FeIII−OH2 Equilibrium.** An important aspect of this study was differentiating among the various species involved. Fortunately, the UV–vis
spectrum of FeIIITMPS+ is distinct from those of FeIIITMPS,
Table 1. Collected Parameters for the Fe Porphyrin Model Systems Used in This Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FeIIITDPS</th>
<th>FeIIITSMO</th>
<th>FeIIITMPS</th>
<th>FeIIITDClPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pKα FeIII(OH2)2</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(phos/acetate)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(unbuffered)</td>
<td>(phos/acetate)</td>
<td>(unbuffered)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKα P−FeIIITMPS+</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKα P−FeIIITMPS+/FeIV=O</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1/2 (vs. Ag/AgCl)</td>
<td>558 mV (pH 4.0)</td>
<td>630 mV (pH 4.0)</td>
<td>596 mV (pH 4.0)</td>
<td>790 mV (pH 4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oxoFeIVP UV-vis (pH 11.5)</td>
<td>423 nm</td>
<td>424 nm</td>
<td>425 nm</td>
<td>420 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H2O)2FeIIITMPS+ UV-vis</td>
<td>394 nm</td>
<td>388 nm</td>
<td>390 nm</td>
<td>387 nm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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to occur through a one-electron, no proton process from the lack of a pH dependence of the oxidation wave below pH 4. This observation indicates that the axial ligation of Fe\textsuperscript{III}TMPS is bis-aqua, (H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsuperscript{III}TMPS, as is the starting Fe\textsuperscript{III}TMPS.\textsuperscript{17b} Similar behavior has been described by Su et al. for a closely related porphyrin, Fe\textsuperscript{III}TMSP.\textsuperscript{19d}

Rapid pH-jumping of preformed basic solutions of oxoFe\textsuperscript{IV}TMPS to lower pH revealed the instantaneous formation of (H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsuperscript{II}TMPS. When the pH was jumped to intermediate values, both oxoFe\textsuperscript{IV}TMPS and (H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsuperscript{II}TMPS were observed in solution, with the ratio of these two species favoring oxoFe\textsuperscript{IV}TMPS as the pH increased (Figure S15). The isosbestic nature of this change and singular value decomposition (SVD) analysis of the data indicate that the only species present in solution just after mixing are oxoFe\textsuperscript{IV}TMPS and (H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsuperscript{II}TMPS. The rapid establishment of these mixtures (∼1 ms) indicates that a fast and reversible equilibrium exists between them. A clear, pK\textsubscript{a} of 5.5 was observed for (H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsuperscript{II}TMPS by plotting the ratio of species present vs pH (Figure 3).\textsuperscript{24} For comparison, the first pK\textsubscript{a} of the ferric derivative, (H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsuperscript{III}TMPS, is 7.5 under these conditions,\textsuperscript{17b} thus providing a measure of the effect of porphyrin ring oxidation of the acidity of the ligated water protons. Interestingly, DFT computations have predicted six relatively low-lying electron-density maps of HRP compound II, of which the hydroxoFe\textsuperscript{III} and aquaFe\textsuperscript{III} porphyrin cation radicals were indicated as the most stable isomers.\textsuperscript{25} Two other pertinent examples of electromeric equilibria in iron(IV) porphyrin systems are apparent in CcP-II\textsuperscript{26} and bis-azido-iron(IV) porphyrins.\textsuperscript{27}

One-electron reduction of oxoFe\textsuperscript{IV}TMPS near the pK\textsubscript{a} of (H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsuperscript{II}TMPS was explored as an alternative approach to the equilibrium mixture of oxoFe\textsuperscript{IV}TMPS and (H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsuperscript{III}TMPS. We found that the nitroxyl radical, TEMPO (H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsuperscript{II}TMPS+, when the pH was jumped to intermediate (H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsuperscript{III}TMPS+ by plotting the ratio of species present vs pH values resulted in the transient formation of mixtures of oxoFe\textsuperscript{IV}TMPS and (H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsuperscript{II}TMPS+. The rapid establishment of both (H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsuperscript{II}TMPS+ and oxoFe\textsuperscript{IV}TMPS without an appreciable accumulation of the ferric porphyrin. The apparently instantaneous establishment of the equilibrium mixture via TEMPO reduction is another indication that the interconversion of (H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsuperscript{II}TMPS+ and oxoFe\textsuperscript{IV}TMPS is fast and reversible and that the product of reduction (which electronomer or mixture of electronomers) depends upon the pH. This reversibility was further confirmed by pH-jumping an acidic solution of (H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsuperscript{II}TMPS+ to high pH and observing the clean formation of oxoFe\textsuperscript{IV}TMPS.

To elucidate the effect of ligand electronics on the observed aquaFe\textsuperscript{III}+/oxoFe\textsuperscript{IV} porphyrin pK\textsubscript{a} values, we examined several other sulfonated iron porphyrins: oxoFe\textsuperscript{IV}TSMP, oxoFe\textsuperscript{IV}TDIPS, and oxoFe\textsuperscript{IV}TDPs. Electrochemical analysis of the zinc derivatives showed that the porphyrin ring oxidation potentials of these ligands spanned 230 mV (Table 1), allowing for examination of this parameter on the ferryl pK\textsubscript{a}. As was observed with oxoFe\textsuperscript{IV}TMPS, rapid pH-jump experiments with each of these oxoFe\textsuperscript{IV} porphyrins revealed an apparent pK\textsubscript{a} (Figure 3B). The most electron-donating durenyl sulfonate meso substituent produced the most basic pK\textsubscript{a} = 6.3 for (H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsuperscript{II}TDPS+, while the most electron-withdrawing 2,6-dichlorophenyl sulfonate substituent led to the lowest pK\textsubscript{a} = 4 for (H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsuperscript{II}TDCIPS+. SVD and evolving factor analyses for each case indicated that only two species were present in significant concentrations in these equilibrium mixtures (Figures S17–S30). Each of these Fe\textsuperscript{IV} porphyrin cation radical complexes exhibited a broader, blue-shifted Soret a\textsubscript{max} than that of the oxoFe\textsuperscript{IV} porphyrin upon jumping to acidic pH regimes. Additionally, the Q-band regions of these new species were all typical of porphyrin cation radical spectra. In the case of oxoFe\textsuperscript{IV}TSMP, the UV–vis spectrum of the protonated species matched that of electrochemically generated bis-aqua-Fe\textsuperscript{IV}TSMP porphyrin cation radical, which has been previously characterized.\textsuperscript{10d} These results, coupled with the evidence that protonation of oxoFe\textsuperscript{IV}TMPS produces (H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsuperscript{III}TMPS+, indicate that the protonation of oxoFe\textsuperscript{IV} porphyrin systems to their corresponding Fe\textsuperscript{III} porphyrin cation radicals is a general phenomenon.

Comparing the reduction potential of the corresponding Zn porphyrin cation radicals to the (H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsuperscript{II}pK\textsubscript{a} revealed an inverse relationship between these two parameters. The lower the redox potential of the porphyrin ring, the higher the observed ferryl pK\textsubscript{a}. This trend is important for several reasons. First, the (H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsuperscript{II}+/ferryl pK\textsubscript{a} appears to be very sensitive to changes in the oxidation potential of the porphyrin ring. When comparing the two extremes of the series, (H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsuperscript{II}TDIPs+ is more than 500-fold more acidic than (H\textsubscript{2}O)\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsuperscript{II}TDPS+, while 230 mV separates the oxidation potentials of their porphyrin rings. Thus, stabilizing the bis-aqua-Fe\textsuperscript{II}TMPS+ porphyrin cation radical through a lower porphyrin redox potential shifts the PFeO-H\textsubscript{2} to a higher value, creating an effectively more basic ferryl oxygen.

Discussion of ferryl heme basicity has generally focused on the role of the trans-axial ligand (L) as it affects the L-Fe\textsuperscript{IV}=OH ⇌ L-Fe\textsuperscript{IV}=O equilibrium. Specifically, the ability of a cysteine thiolate to allow for basic ferryl hemes as a way for heme-thiolate proteins such as cytochrome P450 and APO to oxidize strong C–H bonds in the presence of an oxidizable protein superstructure.\textsuperscript{30a,32c} Spectroscopic data indicate that the iron centers of CPO-II and P450-II exist as iron(IV) hydroxides.\textsuperscript{5a,c} The differences in structure and pK\textsubscript{a} between the protonated CPO-II or P450-II and the protonated model systems used here can be attributed to the thiolate axial ligand in the active site. The anionic, strongly donating thiolate ligand is able to stabilize the iron(IV) center upon oxo protonation to a greater extent than the analogous aqua ligand present in FeTMPS-II,\textsuperscript{10} although a recent case involving an amide oxygen anion ligand apparently does not.\textsuperscript{28} The relative importance of various parameters, such as the nature of the anionic ligand, Coulombic effects, α- and π-trans-axial ligand effects, and field effects of other charges in the active sites of these proteins, has yet to be evaluated. The axial ligand is only one factor in determining the basicity of oxoFe\textsuperscript{IV} porphyrins, however. As can be seen from the results, ligand electronics represent another way to modulate ferryl basicity, which in this case corresponds to a two-proton Bronsted acidity of P−Fe\textsuperscript{III}(OH\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2} and the accompanying electromeric equilibrium. As such, it appears likely that the compound II of some heme enzymes lacking a thiolate axial ligand could be protonated.\textsuperscript{11a}

An important aspect of the current study is the fast establishment of the electromeric equilibrium determining the observed pK\textsubscript{a} values before the subsequent disproportionations. Disproportionation of iron(IV) porphyrins to oxoiron-
A significant aspect of the behavior of this system is that $pK_{a}^{obs}$ represents a two-proton equilibrium. As mentioned above, SVD analysis of the titration data (Figures S17–S30) indicates that the main two components, $P$–Fe$^{IV}$═O and $P$–Fe$^{III}$═OH$_2^-$, account for $>98\%$ of all species present. A small amount of disproportionation during the time of the measurement is expected from the observed kinetics that could account for the residual. There could also be $\sim$1% of a monoprotonated intermediate, although no such species was observed.

Accordingly, we adopt a two-state model, $P$–Fe$^{IV}$═O $\rightleftharpoons$ $P$–Fe$^{III}$═OH$_2^-$ with no other intermediates accumulating at any pH over the range of the measurements (Figure S18), simplifying the analysis, although interactions of $P$–Fe$^{III}$═OH$_2^-$ with the phosphate buffer are certainly also occurring. We estimate that $pK_{a1}$ for TMP$^+$–Fe$^{III}$═OH$_2^-$ should be at least 7. A value of 6.5 or less would significantly increase the amount of monoprotonated intermediates predicted at pH 5.5, which is not supported by the data or SVD analysis. This value also follows from the measured first $pK_{a}$ for the ferric complex, $P$–Fe$^{III}$═OH$_2^-$ which is 7.5 for FeTMPS under these conditions.$^{17b}$ Thus, $pK_{a1}$ for $P$–Fe$^{III}$═OH$_2^-$ should be similar. With $pK_{a1}$ estimated to be $\sim$7, and $pK_{a2}^{obs}$ measured at 5.5, we can calculate $pK_{a2}$ of $P$–Fe$^{III}$═OH$_2^-$ to be $\sim$4. The ferryl O-protonation event and the resulting electromeric equilibrium between $P$–Fe$^{IV}$ and $P$–Fe$^{III}$ are contained in $pK_{a2}$. This unusual situation for a dibasic acid ($pK_{a2} < pK_{a1}$) will be discussed further below. Finally, we estimate $E'$ for $P$–Fe$^{IV}$═O/$P$–Fe$^{IV}$═O to be 1.06 V (vs NHE) from the measured porphyrin ring oxidation for FeTSMP of 1.1 V under these conditions and the very clear ring oxidations observed for the zinc porphyrin analogues (Table 1).$^{19d,e}$ Similar analyses were performed for each iron porphyrin.

The results show that the effective ferryl basicity reflected in the $P$–Fe$^{IV}$═O $\rightleftharpoons$ $P$–Fe$^{III}$═OH$_2^-$ equilibrium has a measurable effect on reactivity in this aqueous model system. OxoFe$^{IV}$TSMP$^+$ and oxoFe$^{IV}$TDClPS$^+$ react with DHA with similar rate constants, 1075 ± 52 and 5015 ± 170 M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively. Estimates of the effective FeO-H BDE formed during substrate HAT by these two oxidants were $\sim$92 and $\sim$94 kcal/mol, respectively, determined by comparing the rate constants for other oxidants using the Bronsted–Evans–Polanyi relationship for the same substrate in the usual way (Figure 6).$^{29}$

The accuracy of these BDE estimates could be confirmed electrochemically in this case. To account for the two-proton equilibrium discussed above, we define a new FeO-H BDE parameter, $D$(OH$_2^-$), in a modified Bordwell equation (eq 2)

$$
K = \frac{[P^{v}Fe^{III}] [O]}{[P^{v}Fe^{IV}]} = \frac{K_{a1} K_{a2}^{obs}}{K_{a2}}
$$

A signif}
that reflects both the homolytic FeO-H BDE for the hydrogen atom in flight from the substrate and pK_a for the proton derived from the medium.\(^{30}\)

\[
D(OH_2) = 23.06E'_\text{poe} + 1.37[2(pK_a^{\text{obs}})] - 1.37pH + 57
\]

= 90–93 kcal/mol

(2)

Thus, D(OH_2), which is pH dependent, can be calculated to be 90–93 kcal/mol from the two measured quantities, \(E'_f = 1.06\) V and \(pK_a^{\text{obs}} = 5.5\), over the pH range of the kinetic measurements (pH 3–5) (Scheme 3). It is satisfying that \(D(OH_2)\) determined in this way is the same as the estimate determined kinetically in Figure 6. As can be seen in eq 2, there is a considerable increase in the driving force for C–H bond scission due to the large contribution from the two-proton pK_a^{\text{obs}} (15 kcal/mol for oxoFeIV-TMPS\(^+\)). Notably, a ferryl basicity corresponding to pK_a = 11 for P–FeIV–OH would be required to obtain this much of a driving force enhancement in a single-proton event. Since the C–H BDE of DHA is 76 kcal/mol, the overall driving force for C–H bond homolysis is 16.5 kcal/mol if the solvent protonation is included, while it is only 11 kcal/mol without solvent assistance. The energetics for this concerted, solvent-proton-assisted HAT are compared to a single-proton event. Since the C=O basicity corresponding to pK_a = 9.0 is in that same range (8–11), and for non-heme ferryl species, for which the FeO-H BDE estimated from the rates corresponds to 5 kcal/mol in expected driving force. Thus, the driving force expressed in pK_a for oxoFeIV-TMPS\(^+\) (Figure 7). The experimental observation of both solvent and substrate isotope effects can be interpreted to result from this synchronization, although a protonation pre-equilibrium leading to the HAT transition state could also give this result.

Applying to the HAT event from the substrate mediated by P–FeIV–O, a concerted ferryl protonation/hydrogen abstraction would have the advantage of the additional 5.5 kcal/mol driving force expressed in pK_a for oxoFeIV-TMPS\(^+\) (Figure 7). The experimental observation of both solvent and substrate isotope effects can be interpreted to result from this synchronization, although a protonation pre-equilibrium leading to the HAT transition state could also give this result.

Interestingly, D(OH_2) values for TDPS\(^+\)FeIII–OH\(_2\), TSMP\(^+\)FeIII–OH\(_2\), and TDCIPS\(^+\)FeIII–OH\(_2\) were determined to be 91, 91.5, and 90.5 kcal/mol, respectively (SI), almost identical to that of oxoFeIV-TMPS\(^+\), despite a 230 mV range for the porphyrin ring oxidation potentials, corresponding to ∼5 kcal/mol in expected driving force. Thus, the D(OH_2) values for all four porphyrins studied are essentially the same and identical to the effective FeO–H BDE estimated from the rates of C–H bond scission. Clearly, the porphyrin redox potential difference is not reflected in the observed rates of DHA oxidation by FeTMPS-I and FeTDCIPS-I. If the Fe-O-H BDE is indeed determined via eq 2, the ferryl heme basicity reflected in pK_a values may be compensating for the lower redox potential to lead to such similar C–H cleavage rates. In this regard, it is interesting to compare the much higher rates of reaction (>100-fold) observed with oxoFeIV-TMPS\(^+\).9 The
ring oxidation potential for this cationic porphyrin has been measured at 1.22 V vs NHE, about 200 mV higher than that of FeTDCIPS, about the same as the redox potential difference between FeTMPS and FeTDCIPS. Why should this be? The results suggest that the relatively high $pK_{a}^{obs}$ of $(H_2O)_2Fe^{III}$TMPS' (5.5, and the correspondingly high $pK_{a1} \approx 7$) is sufficient to contribute to the overall driving force and lead to a higher reactivity than expected. Conversely, the $pK_a$ of $(H_2O)_2Fe^{III}$TMPS' is only 4, and that of $(H_2O)_2FeTMPS$-PyP', while unknown, is certainly lower. Thus, the hydrogen abstraction rates for these low-$pK_a$ iron porphyrins are largely determined by their relative oxidation potentials, with reduced contributions from the ferryl oxygen basicity. Obviously, it would be useful to have synthetic, biomimetic C–H oxygenation catalysts that would have useful synthetic, biomimetic C–H oxygenation catalysts that would have high C–H scission rates at relatively low oxidation potentials.

The idea that protonation or hydrogen-bonding of a ferryl oxo can influence the reactivity of such complexes has been suggested for non-heme oxoFeIV complexes. Ferryl basicity has been discussed recently in connection with differences between C–H and O–H or N–H bond scission. Also, addition of strong acids to the non-heme oxoFeIV complex [(NPy)$_2$-FeO(V)(O)] resulted in an increased reduction potential and increased rates for C–H oxidation. Concomitant with this increase in rate, however, was the loss of the substrate KIE and the appearance of an inverse solvent isotope effect, suggesting that the rate-determining step is electron transfer rather than H-atom abstraction. By contrast, oxoFeIV/TDCIPS' displays both substrate and solvent KIEs, suggesting that the solvent proton is assisting in the HAT from the substrate as opposed to changing the mechanism from H-atom abstraction to electron transfer. Bronsted acid catalysis of substrate C–H bond cleavage may also be occurring in heme proteins such as cytochrome P450 and APO. The proton relay channel of cytochrome P450 has been well studied and is usually invoked to catalyze the O–O bond heterolysis in a ferric hydroperoxo precursor (compound 0) of the reactive oxoiron(IV) porphyrin cation radical and a water molecule. The same proton relay channel could use the newly formed water molecule to activate the ferryl oxygen through protonation in a similar manner as in these iron porphyrin model systems. More generally, ferryl protonation could play a significant role in the reactivity and selectivity of non-heme iron proteins such as the α-ketoglutarate-dependent halogenase SyrB2 and in (S)-2-hydroxypropyl-phosphonic acid epoxidase (HppE), which mediates the unusual epoxide formation in the biosynthesis of fosfomycin. In these cases, simultaneous ferryl protonation and substrate hydrogen abstraction could both facilitate C–H bond scission and disfavor hydroxylation of the incipient substrate radical.

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

We have shown that the oxo groups of sulfonated oxoFeIV porphyrin model systems can be protonated under mild conditions, with apparent $pK_a$ values for the $P^−$–FeO(OH)$_2$, $\equiv$H–FeO−O interconversion between 4.0 and 6.3, depending on the porphyrin meso substituent. The protonated form of these oxoFeIV porphyrins is a bis-aqua-FeIV porphyrin cation radical, or a buffer-bound equivalent. The reversible protonation of this family of ferryl porphyrins reveals a novel electromeric equilibrium, which, while predicted by theory, has not been previously described. Hydrogen abstraction by the compound I analogues, oxoFeIV/TMPS' and oxoFeIV/TDCIPS', leads to protonated rebound intermediates when the pH of the medium is below the $pK_{a}^{obs}$ of $P^−$–Fe$^{III}$(OH)$_2$. The rates of reaction of oxoFeIV/TMPS' and oxoFeIV/TDCIPS' with dihydroxyanthracene are within a factor of 5 of each other, despite a 200 mV difference in the ring oxidation potential. The observed $pK_{a}^{obs}$ values for $(H_2O)_2Fe^{III}TMPS'$ and $(H_2O)_2Fe^{III}TDCIPS'$ differ by 1.5 pH units but in a compensating direction. Using kinetic and electrochemical estimations, the effective FeO–H BDEs of FeTMPS-II and FeTDCIPS-II, $D(\text{OH}_2)$, have been estimated to be $\sim 90–93$ kcal/mol, involving both the hydrogen atom donated by the substrate and a proton delivered simultaneously from the medium. The presence of solvent O–H and substrate C–H deuteration KIEs indicate that hydrocarbon oxidation by these anionic oxoFeIV porphyrin cation radicals occurs via a novel solvent proton-coupled hydrogen atom transfer process. Thus, hydrogen atom abstraction from the substrate by the ferryl species produces a basic Fe–OH intermediate, the protonation of which can increase the overall driving force for C–H bond scission by at least 5 kcal/mol.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

H$_2$TMPS, H$_2$TMP, and H$_2$TDCIP were purchased from Frontier Scientific and used without further purification. Ferrous ammonium sulfate, Dowex AG-50W X8 ion-exchange resin (Na form), Sphadex LH-20 size exclusion gel, anhydrous sodium phosphate monobasic, perchloric acid, potassium hydroxide, TEMPO, and methanol were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. Methanol-4 were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc. Water was distilled and deionized (Millipore, Milli-Q). Buffer solutions were prepared from a stock solution of 100 mM sodium phosphate/acetate acid and were corrected using concentrated KOH or HCl. pH values of the ACN/bu$_2$OH were measured using a sympHony meter that had been calibrated prior to use (pH 4.0, 7.0, and 10.0).

**Porphyran Synthesis.** H$_2$TMPS was prepared as previously reported from commercially available H$_2$TMP (Frontier Scientific). H$_2$TDCIP was prepared from commercially available H$_2$TMPS (Frontier Scientific) using the method of Gonsalves et al. H$_2$TPD was prepared from H$_2$TDPS using the method of Badger et al.
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to yield the corresponding tetrainsulfonic acid. The compound was purified via ion exchange (Dowex AG-50W X8, Na form) to yield H2TDPS-Na4 as a purple solid (101 mg, 69% of theoretical). UV–vis (λ, nm; ε, M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$): 397 (27 000), 416 (140 000), 516 (6500), 553 (3300), 585 (3300), 591 (2700) (100 mM PBS, pH 7.4).$^1$H NMR (D$_2$O, 500 MHz, δ): 4.07 (s, 8H, β-pyrrole), 2.73 (s, 2H, meta-methyl), 1.71 (s, 2H, ortho-methyl).$^1$C NMR (D$_2$O, 500 MHz, ppm): 140.8, 139.1, 136.8, 131.5, 126.5, 120.0, 20.2, 19.0.

Iron Porphyrins. The insertion of iron into the porphyrin ligands was performed according to literature methods.$^{14}$ Briefly, sulfonated porphyrin ligand (0.04 mmol) was dissolved in 15 mL of unbuffered water and pH corrected to pH 4.0 with sulfuric acid. The solution was added to a 25 mL round-bottom flask equipped with a reflux condenser and an argon inlet. The mixture was brought to and held at reflux for 30 min under argon. (NH$_4$)$_2$Fe(SO$_4$)$_2$ (100 mg, 0.26 mmol) was then quickly added to the refluxing solution under argon. The mixture was allowed to stir at reflux overnight, at which point the iron anion was removed and the mixture refluxed open to air for 1 h. NaOH was added to the mixture to precipitate out the excess iron salts in solution. The mixture was then filtered through a bed of Celite and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting solid was purified via ion exchange (Dowex AG-50W X8, Na form) using water as the eluent. Finally, the mixture was desalted via size exclusion chromatography (Sephadex LH-20), eluting with 20% aqueous methanol, yielding the desired Fe complex. FeTMPS was prepared and characterized as we have previously described.$^{17a}$

The insertion of iron into the porphyrin ligands was performed according to literature methods.$^{14}$ Briefly, sulfonated porphyrin ligand (0.04 mmol) was dissolved in 15 mL of unbuffered water and pH corrected to pH 4.0 with sulfuric acid. The solution was added to a 25 mL round-bottom flask equipped with a reflux condenser and an argon inlet. The mixture was brought to and held at reflux for 30 min under argon. (NH$_4$)$_2$Fe(SO$_4$)$_2$ (100 mg, 0.26 mmol) was then quickly added to the refluxing solution under argon. The mixture was allowed to stir at reflux overnight, at which point the iron anion was removed and the mixture refluxed open to air for 1 h. NaOH was added to the mixture to precipitate out the excess iron salts in solution. The mixture was then filtered through a bed of Celite and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting solid was purified via ion exchange (Dowex AG-50W X8, Na form) using water as the eluent. Finally, the mixture was desalted via size exclusion chromatography (Sephadex LH-20), eluting with 20% aqueous methanol, yielding the desired Fe complex. FeTMPS was prepared and characterized as we have previously described.$^{17a}$

$\text{FeTMPS-HSO}_4 \cdot \text{Na}_8$. 13.9 mg, 25% of theoretical. UV–vis (λ, nm; ε, M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$): 328 (14 000), 416 (43 500), 505 (4000), 536 (1500). HR-ESI-MS (fla) for C$_{29}$H$_{30}$FeN$_4$O$_9$S$_4$Na$_8$: observed 418.073 m/z, observed 418.073 m/z.

Zinc Porphyrins. ZnTMPS-Na$_8$. H$_2$TMPS (100 mg, 0.063 mmol) was added to a 50 mL round-bottom flask equipped with a stir bar and a reflux condenser. A minimal amount of water was added to dissolve the solid. The solution was brought to reflux, followed by addition of ZnOAc (77.5 mg, 0.35 mmol). The reaction was monitored by UV–vis spectroscopy, and an increasing amount of Zn was added until the mixture was allowed to stir at reflux overnight, at which point the zinc anion was removed and the mixture refluxed open to air for 1 h. NaOH was added to the mixture to precipitate out the excess zinc salts in solution. The mixture was then filtered through a bed of Celite and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting solid was purified via ion exchange (Dowex AG-50W X8, Na form) using water as the eluent. Finally, the mixture was desalted via size exclusion chromatography (Sephadex LH-20), eluting with 20% aqueous methanol, yielding the desired Zn complex. ZnTMPS was prepared and characterized as we have previously described.$^{17a}$

$\text{ZnTMPS-HSO}_4 \cdot \text{Na}_8$. 19.3 mg, 30%. UV–vis (λ, nm; ε, M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$): 402 (45 000), 423 (535 000), 557 (20 000), 592 (22 000) (100 mM PBS, pH 7.4).$^1$H NMR (D$_2$O, 500 MHz, δ): 8.73 (s, 8H, β-pyrrole), 3.17 (s, 12H, para-methyl), 2.11 (s, 24H, ortho-methyl). HR-ESI-MS for C$_{23}$H$_{28}$ZnN$_4$O$_9$S$_4$Na$_8$: expected 493.659 m/z, observed 493.659 m/z.

Instrumentation. UV–vis spectra were recorded using either a Hewlett-Packard 8453 diode array spectrophotometer or a Varian Cary Bio-300 double-beam spectrophotometer with temperature control. Rapid-mixing stopped-flow experiments were obtained using a thermostated Hi-Tech SF-61 DX2 double-mixing instrument with a 1 cm or 2 mm path length cuvette equipped with a diode array detector. NMR spectra were recorded using either a Bruker Avance (500 MHz) or a Bruker Ultra-Shield (300 MHz) spectrometer. HR-ESI-MS data were recorded using an Agilent 6220 accurate-mass LC-TOF mass spectrometer operating in negative mode. Electrochemical measurements were obtained using a BAS 100B/W electrochemical workstation.

Electrochemical Measurements. Cyclic voltammograms were obtained using a three-electrode cell: a glassy carbon electrode (3 mm diameter) as the working electrode, a platinum wire as the counter electrode, and a saturated Ag/AgCl reference electrode purchased from BASi. The electrochemical measurements were performed in 50 mM phosphate/acetate solution, which served as both buffer and supporting electrolyte. Rapid-Mixing pH-Jump Experiments/Ph Determination. All kinetic data were obtained at 14.5 °C unless otherwise noted using a pH-jump technique similar to that reported by Yosca.$^{5a}$ Each experiment was carried out in runs composed of six individual tests, which were then averaged and duplicated at least twice. Reactions that were completed in under 1 min were measured using a thermostated Hi-Tech SF-61 DX2 double-mixing stopped-flow instrument with a 1 cm path length cuvette in single-mixing mode or in double-mixing mode with the reported aging time. Reactions taking longer than 1 min were measured using a Varian Cary Bio-300 double-beam spectrophotometer operating in single-wavelength mode. pH-jump experiments for oxoFe$^{III}$TMPS, TSMP, and TDCIPS were performed.
in single-mixing mode by the 1:1 rapid mixing (and dilution) of a high-
Phe oxoFeIII-porphyrin solution and 100 mM buffer (phosphate acetate).
Phe-jump experiments for oxoFeIII-TDPS were performed in double-
mixing mode, where the first push is used to generate oxoFeIII-TDPS and the second push to jump the pH of the newly formed oxoFeIV species. Ionic strength was not compensated and varied between 0.05S and 0.175 M, depending upon the pH of the phosphate–acetate buffer after the pH jump. The pH of the resulting solutions was measured for each run. Spectrophotometric titrations were made using the first scan of the experiment after the instrumental dead time (~1 ms).

**Formation of OxoFeIVporphyrin Complexes.** OxoFeIIITMP and oxoFeIIITMPS were formed in bulk by the addition of stoichiometric mCPBA (100 mM stock solution in ACN) to a solution of FeIIITMPS or FeIIITDClPS (20 μM, pH 11.5 unbuffered) and CPBA (100 mM stock solution in ACN) to a solution of FeIIITDClPS (20 μM, pH 11.5 unbuffered). OxoFeIIITDPS was formed under rapid-mixing-stopped-flow conditions by the 1:1 mixing of FeIIITDPS (40 mM, pH 11.5, unbuffered) and mCPBA (40 mM, pH 11.5, unbuffered).

**Kinetic Measurements.** All kinetic experiments were performed at 14.5 °C in 50 mM phosphate acetate buffer. Oxidations of hydrocarbon substrates were performed in 20% ACN buffer mixtures to increase solubility. Each experiment was carried out in runs composed of six individual shots, which were then averaged and duplicated at least twice. The concentrations presented are final after mixing. Time-resolved UV–vis spectra are recorded using Hi-Tech SF-61 DX2 double mixing instrument with a 1 cm path length cuvette equipped with diode array detector. Substrate reactions with xanthene hydrocarbon substrates were performed in 20% ACN buffer mixtures to increase solubility. Each experiment was carried out in runs composed of six individual shots, which were then averaged and duplicated at least twice. The concentrations presented are final after mixing. Time-resolved UV–vis spectra are recorded using Hi-Tech SF-61 DX2 double mixing instrument with a 1 cm path length cuvette equipped with diode array detector. Substrate reactions with xanthene and dihydroanthracene were performed in double-mixing mode where the oxoFeIVporphyrin cation radical species were generated by mixing FeIIIporphyrin complexes and mCPBA in the first push. The substrate was then introduced in the second push after the appropriate aging time. Values of kobs were obtained by fitting the kinetic profile to a single-exponential equation using Hi-Tech KinetAsyst 2.28 software. Bimolecular reaction rate constants were then obtained from a linear fit of kobs versus substrate concentration. Global spectral analyses, evolving factor analyses and singular value decomposition analyses44 were performed using the ReactLab Kinetics 1.1 software package.
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